

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
HARRISON DIVISION

ROBERT ALLEN

PLAINTIFF

v. Civil No. 15-3087

DAVID ETHREDGE, Prosecuting
Attorney; JASON DAY, Boone
County Jail Administrator; CAPTAIN
KING, Criminal Investigation Division,
Boone County Sheriff's Department; and
JASON SILVA, Accounting, Notary, and
Jail Employee

DEFENDANTS

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This is a civil rights action filed by the Plaintiff pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff proceeds *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* (IFP). Plaintiff was specifically advised of his obligation to keep the Court informed of his current address at all times (Doc. 3).

When Plaintiff filed this case on September 28, 2015, Plaintiff was incarcerated in the Boone County Detention Center. On October 8, 2015, mail was returned as undeliverable marked "inmate not here." On October 8, 2015, Plaintiff's address was changed (Doc. 7) to a private address in Illinois and the Plaintiff was reminded of his obligation to keep the Court informed of his current address.

On October 20, 2015, mail was returned from the Illinois address with a notation that the Plaintiff had moved and left no forwarding address. The Court obtained the Plaintiff's current address at the Hancock County Public Safety Complex in Bay St. Louis, MS 39520 and a change of address was entered (Doc. 8) on the Plaintiff's behalf. On November 2, 2015, mail was returned

as undeliverable with the notation that Plaintiff was no longer at the facility.

Plaintiff has not communicated with the Court since he filed his Complaint (Doc. 1) and Motion to Proceed IFP (Doc. 2) on September 28, 2015. The Court has no other address for the Plaintiff.

I therefore recommend that this case be dismissed based on Plaintiff's failure to obey an Order of the Court and his failure to prosecute this action. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

The Plaintiff has fourteen (14) days from receipt of the report and recommendation in which to file written objections pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The failure to file timely objections may result in waiver of the right to appeal questions of fact. The Plaintiff is reminded that objections must be both timely and specific to trigger de novo review by the district court.

DATED this 16th day of November, 2015.

/s/ Mark E. Ford

HON. MARK E. FORD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE